

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/717,897	11/21/2003	Jonathan Phillips ·	044463-0264	9078	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			EXAMINER		
			COLLINS, CYNTHIA B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638		
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/717,897	PHILLIPS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cynthia Collins	1638		

=	Cxammer	Artonit	
	Cynthia Collins	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	TILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp 	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: See Continuation Sheet.		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2,6,22,24,26 and 2</u> canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5</u> .	·		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4 and 6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	// ~ //	600
13. ☐ Other: interview summary.		horthin be	klhus
			1/26/08
		Cynthia Collins	
•	·	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1638	

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

- (a) the proposed amendment(s) raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search under 35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs with respect to: the lack of antecedent basis for "said RNA interference molecule" in claim 21; the indefiniteness of an RNA interference molecule that is "a portion of the coding region of cynnamyl alcohot dehydrogenase" (claims 21, 23, 25, 27, 30, 33); written description and enablement of an RNA interefrence molecule that is a portion of the coding region of cynnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (claims 21, 23, 25, 27, 30, 33); the enablement of obtaining wood or wood pulp from transgenic plants that express an RNA interference molecule (claims 29-34); the indefiniteness of obtaining wood pulp from a plant (claim 32).
- (b) the proposed amendment(s) raise the issue of new matter with respect to a method of making wood pulp (claims 32-34).

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the proposed amendment of claim 6, if entered, would have overcome the rejection of claim 6 under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the request is predicated on the entry of the amendments filed after final rejection.